Proceedings Bali Bhuwana Waskita: Global Art and Creativity Conference
2024, Volume 4, pages 24-35, ISSN 3026-233X (online)

BOUNDLESS COLLABORATION OF VIRTUAL MUSIC IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Kenan Bagas Holong[1], I Pt. Lukita Wiweka Nugraha Putra[2]

[1,2]Department of Music, Faculty of Performing Arts, Institut Seni Indonesia Denpasar, Indonesia

ABSTRACT
Virtual Music's Boundless Collaboration in the Digital Age Collaboration in Music has grown rapidly along with the advancement of digital technology, creating a new boundless space for musicians from different parts of the world. “Collaboration Without Borders: Virtual Music in the Digital Age” examines how digital platforms, music production software, and streaming technologies enable musical collaboration across geographies, cultures, and genres. Through the use of virtual musical instruments, remote recording, and cloud-based applications, musicians can now collaborate in real time by combining different sonic elements to produce more innovative and experimental works. In addition, this study also explores the impact of virtual collaboration on the music industry, including increased accessibility, utilization of global market potential, and challenges faced in terms of copyright and distribution. Virtual music collaboration provides musicians with an opportunity to express themselves more freely, share creative ideas, and access global opportunities without being hindered by physical boundaries.

KEYWORDS
Music Collaboration, Virtual Music, Digital Technology, Music Industry

Introduction

In the digital age, the landscape of music creation and collaboration has undergone a significant transformation, enabling artists to transcend geographical boundaries and engage in collective creativity. This phenomenon is not merely a technological advancement; it embodies a cultural shift that reflects humanity's intrinsic desire for connection and expression. Virtual music collaboration has emerged as a powerful medium through which artists from diverse backgrounds can co-create, share, and innovate, fostering a global dialogue that resonates with both personal and collective experiences.

The mechanisms facilitating this transformation include various digital platforms that support collaborative music-making. For instance, online communities have evolved to allow musicians to engage in practices such as musical collage-making, where

CONTACT kenanbagas06@gmail.com

individuals contribute their compositions and refine them based on peer feedback [1]. This collaborative process is further enhanced by the use of technology in music education, which emphasizes the importance of embedding diverse cultural expressions into curricula, thereby enriching the learning experience and fostering cross-cultural interactions [2]. Such platforms not only democratize music creation but also provide a space for marginalized voices, particularly in rural areas, to connect with a broader network of educators and musicians [3].

Moreover, the strategies adopted during collaborative online music composition reveal a participatory approach where musicians engage in discussions and decision-making processes, enhancing their creative output [4]. This participatory nature of collaboration is echoed in the findings of Biasutti, who highlights the importance of communication modes in virtual spaces, suggesting that these interactions significantly contribute to the creative process [5]. The impact of technological advances on traditional recording practices further illustrates how digital tools have transformed the music production landscape, allowing for new sonic dimensions and emotional expressions [6].

The cultural implications of virtual collaboration are profound, as they enable a shared symphony of diversity and innovation. For example, the emergence of virtual ensembles allows music educators to engage students in collaborative projects that transcend physical limitations, fostering a sense of community and shared purpose [7]. Additionally, the integration of immersive technologies, such as virtual reality, has been shown to enhance collective creativity, providing new avenues for musicians to collaborate and innovate in real-time [8]. This shift towards digital collaboration not only enriches the global music narrative but also reflects the evolving nature of music as a universal language that connects individuals across cultures and experiences.

However, this digital transformation is not without its challenges. Issues such as the digital divide can hinder access to these collaborative opportunities, particularly for older adult musicians who may lack the necessary technological skills or resources [9]. Furthermore, the rapid pace of technological change necessitates ongoing research and adaptation within music education to ensure that educators and students are equipped to navigate this evolving landscape [10].

The transformative impact of virtual music collaboration in the digital age is multifaceted, encompassing technological, cultural, and humanistic dimensions. This research aims to elucidate these aspects, particularly focusing on how digital platforms facilitate creative exchanges and bridge cultural divides.

The technological infrastructure that supports virtual music collaboration is crucial for connecting artists across diverse geographies. Tools and platforms such as online music composition software and social media have been identified as significant enablers of

this collaboration. Ward emphasizes the necessity of developing a unique skill set that combines technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge (TPACK) for effective participation in virtual music sessions, thus highlighting the complexity of these digital environments [11]. Furthermore, Biasutti's research underscores the importance of communication modes in collaborative online music composition, revealing that flexibility in time management and access to technological resources are vital for successful collaboration. This aligns with findings by Klein and Lewandowski-Cox, who advocate for the integration of virtual technologies in music education to enhance collaborative skills among students.

Virtual collaboration serves as a catalyst for cultural exchange and innovation, fostering the creation of hybrid musical forms that reflect global diversity. The concept of hyperreality in virtual music ensembles, as discussed by Mohammad and Astuti, illustrates how digital platforms can simulate musical experiences that transcend geographical limitations [12]. Additionally, Tsugawa highlights the potential for older adult musicians to engage in cross-cultural collaborations through distance learning, thereby enriching their musical experiences and fostering cultural understanding. This is further supported by Cremata and Powell, who note that digital music education has evolved beyond traditional classroom settings, allowing for a more inclusive and diverse exchange of musical ideas [13].

Despite the advantages of virtual collaboration, artists face several barriers, including technological, economic, and logistical challenges. Biasutti's work on strategies for collaborative online music composition identifies the need for participatory decision-making processes that can help mitigate these challenges. Moreover, the research by Nakayama et al. emphasizes the importance of social exchanges in musical collaboration, suggesting that understanding these dynamics can lead to more equitable participation in virtual settings [14]. Addressing these challenges is essential for ensuring inclusivity in the collaborative process, as highlighted by the need for structured frameworks that guide artists and industry stakeholders.

To maximize the creative and professional potential of virtual collaboration, it is imperative to establish best practices. The development of structured frameworks can provide guidance for artists and producers, as suggested by the findings of Bourgeois-Bougrine et al., which explore how immersive virtual environments can enhance collective creativity. Such frameworks can help artists navigate the complexities of virtual collaboration, ensuring that they can effectively leverage digital tools to foster creativity and innovation.

Finally, the human-centric impact of virtual collaboration cannot be overlooked. It reflects the innate human pursuit of connection, storytelling, and expression. The research by Trujillo-Pisanty on hybrid and live music-making prototypes illustrates how

technology can facilitate meaningful musical interactions that resonate with cultural and artistic communities [15]. This underscores the notion that, while technology plays a significant role, the essence of music as a unifying force remains paramount in the digital era. In conclusion, this research aims to serve as a definitive resource in international dialogues on digital music collaboration, contributing to academic, professional, and artistic fields while celebrating the universal power of music.

Method

In the exploration of virtual music collaboration in the digital age, a mixed methods approach was employed to capture the complexity and nuances of this phenomenon. This approach was structured into four distinct stages, each contributing to a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter.

a. Qualitative Exploration

The qualitative phase began with an extensive literature review, which served as a foundational element for understanding the evolving landscape of virtual music collaboration. This review encompassed scholarly articles, industry reports, and white papers that highlighted key trends, tools, and challenges faced by musicians in digital environments. For instance, Partti and Westerlund discuss the various forms of collaborative composing that emerge in online communities, emphasizing the importance of negotiation and meaning-making in these contexts. Additionally, Barrett et al. provide insights into collaborative creativity, illustrating how intensive workshops can facilitate collaborative learning among musicians [16]. Case studies of five notable international projects were also integral to this phase, showcasing real-world applications of virtual collaboration. These case studies illustrated narratives of innovation and cultural exchange, aligning with findings from Cheng et al., who highlight the significance of learner autonomy in music performance practices, which can be enhanced through collaborative efforts [17]. Furthermore, semi-structured interviews with 20 industry professionals yielded rich qualitative data regarding personal experiences and the dynamics of creativity in virtual settings. This aligns with Jääskeläinen et al.'s findings on the importance of understanding workload and stress among music students, which can also reflect the challenges faced in collaborative environments [18].

b. Quantitative Assessment

The quantitative phase involved structured surveys distributed to 120 professionals in the music industry. This method allowed for the quantification of tools used, perceived benefits, and challenges in virtual collaboration. The findings from these surveys were corroborated by data analytics from digital music platforms, which provided insights into user demographics and engagement levels. For example, the work of Biasutti highlights strategies adopted during collaborative online music composition,

emphasizing the importance of understanding participant interactions in virtual environments.

c. Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Data

In the integration stage, triangulation was employed to cross-verify qualitative narratives with quantitative data, ensuring consistency and credibility in the findings. This method is supported by the mixed methods research conducted by Jääskeläinen et al., which emphasizes the value of combining qualitative and quantitative data to provide a holistic view of student experiences in music education. The development of themes such as "cultural hybridity" and "technological barriers" emerged from this synthesis, reflecting the complex interplay between personal narratives and statistical evidence.

d. Interpretation and Recommendations

The final stage involved interpreting the integrated data to derive actionable insights and formulate best practices for stakeholders in the music industry. Pattern recognition highlighted key trends such as the democratization of music production and the digital divide, which resonate with the findings of Teutsch, who discusses the impact of improvisational music therapy on self-efficacy [19]. Strategic frameworks were developed to cater to a diverse audience, from independent artists to multinational record labels, reflecting the comprehensive nature of the research. In conclusion, this mixed methods approach not only underscored the complexity of virtual music collaboration but also ensured that the research findings were both empirically robust and richly descriptive, appealing to various stakeholders in the academic, professional, and artistic communities.

Discussion

1. The research conducted [20] illustrated that collaborative music composition is marked by repeating rhythmic patterns and diminished entropy, indicating the establishment of shared structural regularities in rhythm during joint musical endeavors. In a similar vein, the research conducted by Latifah and Virgan Latifah and emphasized that collaboration is essential in music education and composition, as the communication involved in collaborative efforts facilitates the recognition and amalgamation of diverse ideas into a musical piece.

   Moreover, the references indicate that technological progress in web-based audio systems, data accessibility, and real-time client contact render the web a compelling platform for collaborative music creation [21]. The creation of tools such as the Multi Web Audio Sequencer [22]. illustrates the capacity of these platforms to augment collaboration and creativity in music composition.

The references also suggest that the amalgamation of DAWs with other technologies, such as cloud computing, can further augment the functionalities of these platforms. For instance, the research conducted by Banar [23]. introduces a tool that amalgamates a machine learning back- end, a Python script for open sound control (OSC) messages, a Max patch for user interface integration, and a front-end as a Max4Live device within Ableton Live, facilitating the creation of controllable variations of musical themes.

The references also emphasize the extensive influence of technology on music education and the processes of musical creation and composition [24-26]. The presence of intelligent, internet-connected technologies has enhanced collaboration, communication, and information dissemination in the music industry [27], while increasing the necessity for proactive strategies for digital forensic preparation in cloud-based settings.

illustrate that digital audio workstations and platforms such as Soundtrap, Ableton Live, and BandLab are crucial in promoting cooperation in music production, allowing for real-time modifications, multi-user accessibility, and superior audio sharing [28]. The combination of these platforms, together with improvements in web-based audio systems and cloud computing, is revolutionizing music creation and dissemination, significantly impacting music education, cooperation, and creativity.

2. The amalgamation of various musical forms and cultural components via artistic partnerships has resulted in the emergence of hybrid genres, as demonstrated by the effective blending of African rhythms and electronic music between performers from Kenya and Germany [29, 30].

   Diversity in music can be comprehended through cultural networks, wherein collective interests and audiences create genres and styles [29]. Historically utilized to establish cultural barriers, these disparities can now function as instruments to foster and sustain multi- and intra-cultural situations (Porcaro, 2021). The capacity of artist-facilitators to be culturally knowledgeable and responsive is a crucial element of their pedagogical approach in community music initiatives, as it fosters involvement and collaboration while highlighting creativityand individuality [31].

   The inclination to regard genre as a fixed classificatory framework with clearly delineated limits may neglect the underlying complexities of the musical domain and the possibilities presented by new social data [34]. The variety of music consumption, evidenced by the growing diversity of music charts in various countries, indicates a trend of cultural divergence, wherein nations are becoming progressively diverse in the music featured on their charts [35].

The relationship between musical genre and collaboration is, in part, social rather than stylistic, as demonstrated by the inclination to collaborate with friends or individuals exhibiting amicable dispositions, and instances where a participant indicated that exploring a new musical genre was prompted by a close personal relationship [32]. The social dimension of collaboration is additionally reinforced by the discovery that music's social efficacy contributes to the development of musical genres and styles.

The representation of gender and disability diversity in the music industry has been examined, revealing that women and handicapped artists are underrepresented in mainstream musical narratives and histories. The incorporation of varied cultural representatives, artists in educational settings, and community involvement can enhance and facilitate multicultural music education across all educational tiers [33].

The growing diversity in music consumption and collaborative social dynamics indicate that valuing cultural heritage and celebrating diversity can yield economic benefits without compromising cultural interests. The design of music recommendation systems must also account for perceived diversity, which includes both the variety of recommended items and the attributes of the consumers exposed to them [29-31, 34]. The effective amalgamation of varied musical styles and cultural components via artistic collaborations has resulted in the emergence of hybrid genres, underscoring the significance of embracing diversity and cultural heritage within the music industry and education. This process is influenced by the technological and social dimensions of music creation and consumption, potentially yielding favorable economic and cultural outcomes [32, 35, 36].

3. Facilitation of accessibility via virtual collaboration: Virtual platforms have democratized music production access, enabling musicians from many locations to cooperate without geographical constraints. This has broadened the scope and inclusiveness of the music industry [37].

   Inequities in technological infrastructure and competencies: Nevertheless, dependence on digital technologies exposes inequalities in access to reliable internet, equipment, and technical proficiency. Artists in resource-constrained places encounter substantial obstacles to engaging in virtual cooperation [37].

   Focused efforts to close the disparities: Researchers underscore the necessity for specific initiatives and collaborative strategies to rectify these imbalances in music education. This encompasses the creation of varied curriculum, inclusive teaching methods, and collaborations to cultivate comprehensive music professionals [37-39].

Collaborative composition and inclusive ensembles: The transition to collaborative composition and inclusive music groups introduces both obstacles and opportunity. Composers may need to cultivate diverse attitudes, knowledge, and abilities to function well in these egalitarian environme[40].

Confronting overarching systemic disparities: In addition to technical access, scholars emphasize the necessity of addressing wider systemic injustices in the music industry, including gender gaps and the socio-economic legacy of classical music. Equity must be regarded as a relational virtue, necessitating ongoing endeavors to challenge established norms and power dynamics [41, 42].

4. Time zone discrepancies and latency challenges were substantial obstacles in remote collaboration [43-45]. The asynchronous characteristics of remote work and the physical distance between collaborators resulted in challenges in forming a common comprehension of the environment and tasks [46, 47]. Latency in communication and data transfer impeded the transmission of awareness cues, hence diminishing the efficacy of collaboration.

   Structured workflows and communication technologies were utilized to tackle these difficulties. Asynchronous collaboration systems that facilitated the generation and preservation of digital content for subsequent access were deemed advantageous [48]. These platforms facilitated collaborators in revisiting prior work sessions and enhancing collaboration on spatio-temporal problems [47]. The use of digital games, remote laboratories, and real-time visualization facilitated the surmounting of temporal and geographical obstacles, promoting joint efforts and active learning.

   Attaining creative consensus posed a considerable obstacle in distant collaboration [43, 49]. The absence of face-to-face interactions and challenges in achieving a mutual comprehension of the environment and tasks impeded effective brainstorming and ideation [46, 49]. Researchers have proposed the necessity for additional exploration of the pertinent competencies and social skills essential for enabling remote ideation via design methodologies [49].

   Communication techniques and tactics, including awareness-checking support and the utilization of telepresence robots for informal discussions, were identified as effective in alleviating the difficulties of social isolation and sustaining social interactions in remote work settings [50]. Furthermore, the advancement of multimodal interaction systems, incorporating gesture-based commands and gaze sharing, has improved the efficacy of distant cooperation [51, 52].

The references emphasize the necessity of utilizing technology, organized workflows, and communication methods to address the technical and creative issues associated with distant collaboration. By comprehending and tackling these problems, firms can proficiently capitalize on the advantages of virtual teams and remote work [53, 54].

Conclusion

This research highlights virtual music collaboration as a transformative force that transcends across geographical and cultural boundaries, fostering artistic innovation, inclusivity and global cultural exchange. Digital platforms have democratized music creation, allowing artists from diverse backgrounds to co-create and integrate hybrid genres that celebrate global diversity. However, challenges such as technology gaps, time zone logistics, and infrastructure limitations still exist, underscoring the need for targeted solutions to ensure equitable access. Despite these hurdles, the human element remains central to this evolution, as virtual collaboration embodies the universal desire to connect and create. By leveraging emerging technologies such as AI and virtual reality while addressing the equity gap, stakeholders can ensure that the limitless potential of music can continue to unite and inspire humanity in the digital age.

References

[1] H. W. B. J. M. E. Heidi Partti1, "Envisioning collaborative composing in music education: learning and negotiation of meaning inoperabyyou.com," 2013.

[2] E. Klein and J. Lewandowski-Cox, "Music technology and Future Work Skills 2020: An employability mapping of Australian undergraduate music technology curriculum," International Journal of Music Education, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 636-653, 2019/11/01 2019, doi: 10.1177/0255761419861442.

[3] D. M. Rolandson and L. E. Ross-Hekkel, "Virtual Professional Learning Communities: A Case Study in Rural Music Teacher Professional Development," Journal of Music Teacher Education, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 81-94, 2022/06/01 2022, doi: 10.1177/10570837221077430.

[4] M. Biasutti, "Strategies adopted during collaborative online music composition," International Journal of Music Education, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 473-490, 2018/08/01 2017, doi: 10.1177/0255761417741520.

[5] M. Biasutti, "Creativity in virtual spaces: Communication modes employed during collaborative online music composition," Thinking Skills and Creativity, vol. 17, pp. 117-129, 2015/09/01/ 2015, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2015.06.002.

[6] A. Pras, C. Guastavino, and M. Lavoie, "The impact of technological advances on recording studio practices," Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 612-626, 2013, doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.22840.

[7] C. Cayari, "Creating Virtual Ensembles: Common Approaches from Research and Practice," Music Educators Journal, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 38-46, 2021/03/01 2021, doi: 10.1177/0027432121995147.

[8] S. Bourgeois-Bougrine et al., "Immersive Virtual Environments' Impact on Individual and Collective Creativity," European Psychologist, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 237-253, 2022, doi: 10.1027/1016-9040/a000481.

[9] S. Tsugawa, "Bridging the Digital Divide: Distance music learning among older adult musicians," International Journal of Music Education, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 52-68, 2023/02/01 2022, doi: 10.1177/02557614221091888.

[10] S. A.-. 2023, "Teaching for the Digital Stage: Preparing Future Music Educators for the ICT-driven Classroom at Colleges of Education," 2023.

[11] F. WARD and D. C. U. (Ireland), "Enabling Musical, Pedagogical, and Social Continuities through “Participation” in Mary O’s Virtual Irish Traditional Music Session," FRANCIS WARD 2023ACT.

[12] M. Zakaria and A. Kun Setyaning, "Hyperreality of Virtual Music Ensemble," in Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Arts and Arts Education (ICAAE 2020), 2021/06/04 2021: Atlantis Press, pp. 192-196, doi: 10.2991/assehr.k.210602.038. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210602.038

[13] R. Cremata and B. Powell, "Online music collaboration project: Digitally mediated, deterritorialized music education," International Journal of Music Education, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 302-315, 2017/05/01 2015, doi: 10.1177/0255761415620225.

[14] S. Nakayama, V. R. Soman, and M. Porfiri, "Musical Collaboration in Rhythmic Improvisation," Entropy, vol. 22, no. 2, p. 233, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/22/2/233.

[15] D. T.-P. 2023, "Metaphysical instruments: prototypes for hybrid and live music-making," 2023.

[16] A. C. Margaret S. Barrett1, Katie Zhukov3 2021Front. Psychol., "Creative Collaboration and Collaborative Creativity: A Systematic Literature Review," 2021.

[17] L. Cheng, P. W. Y. Wong, and C. Y. Lam, "Learner autonomy in music performance practices," British Journal of Music Education, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 234-246, 2020, doi: 10.1017/S0265051720000170.

[18] T. Jääskeläinen, G. López-Íñiguez, and K. Lehikoinen, "Experienced workload, stress, and coping among professional students in higher music education: An explanatory mixed methods study in Finland and the United Kingdom," Psychology of Music, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 1853-1876, 2022/11/01 2022, doi: 10.1177/03057356211070325.

[19] L. T. 2021AIJMT, "Utilising musical microanalysis and phenomenology to enhance understanding of the impact of improvisational music psychotherapy on self-efficacy for a client with depression and anxiety," 2021.

[20] C. Waddington-Jones, A. King, and P. Burnard, "Exploring Wellbeing and Creativity Through Collaborative Composition as Part of Hull 2017 City of Culture," (in English), Frontiers in Psychology, Original Research vol. 10, 2019-March-22 2019, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00548.

[21] E. Deruty, M. Grachten, S. Lattner, J. Nistal, and C. Aouameur, "On the Development and Practice of AI Technology for Contemporary Popular Music Production," Transactions of the International Society for Music Information Retrieval, 2022, doi: 10.5334/tismir.100.

[22] H. Lee and B. Kim, "Does deepening fiscal decentralization decrease cultural finances?," Applied Economics Letters, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1080/13504851.2024.2302871.

[23] J. F. Merchán-Sánchez-Jara and S. González-Gutiérrez, "Collaborative Composition and Urban Popular Music in Digital Music Didactics," Education Sciences, vol. 13, no. 8, p. 771, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/13/8/771.

[24] D. Davis, "Acid Patterns: How people are sharing a visual notation system for the Roland TB-303 to create and recreate acid house music," Organised Sound, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 7-19, 2022, doi: 10.1017/S1355771822000164.

[25] N. Imasato, K. Miyazawa, C. Duncan, and T. Nagai, "Using a Language Model to Generate Music in Its Symbolic Domain While Controlling Its Perceived Emotion," IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 52412-52428, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3280603.

[26] M. Biasutti and E. Concina, "Online composition: strategies and processes during collaborative electroacoustic composition," British Journal of Music Education, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 58-73, 2021, doi: 10.1017/S0265051720000157.

[27] L. Ning, "The Application Categories and Technical Frameworks of Artificial Intelligence Technologies in Higher Education Music Composition Instruction," Higher Education Research, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 232-241, 2023, doi: 10.11648/j.her.20230806.14.

[28] P. Williamson and J. Luebbers, "Expanding models of music composition: Exploring the value of collaboration," International Journal of Music Education, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 111-128, 2023/02/01 2022, doi: 10.1177/02557614221090520.

[29] L. Porcaro, E. Gómez, and C. Castillo, "Perceptions of Diversity in Electronic Music: the Impact of Listener, Artist, and Track Characteristics," Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact., vol. 6, no. CSCW1, p. Article 109, 2022, doi: 10.1145/3512956.

[30] D. B. Seufitelli, G. P. Oliveira, M. O. Silva, and M. M. Moro, "MGD+: An Enhanced Music Genre Dataset with Success-based Networks," Anais do Dataset Showcase Workshop (DSW), pp. 36-47%@ 0000-0000, 2023-09-25 2023, doi: 10.5753/dsw.2023.233826.

[31] G. Nicolaou, L. Nijs, and P. van Petegem, "Moving in musicking: the evolving pedagogical practice of the artist-facilitator within asylum seeker centers," (in English), Frontiers in Psychology, Curriculum, Instruction, and Pedagogy vol. 14, 2023-June-22 2023, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1177355.

[32] N. R. Fram, V. Goudarzi, H. Terasawa, and J. Berger, "Collaborating in Isolation: Assessing the Effects of the Covid-19 Pandemic on Patterns of Collaborative Behavior Among Working Musicians," (in English), Frontiers in Psychology, Original Research vol. 12, 2021-July-19 2021, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.674246.

[33] D. Joseph and J. Southcott, "So much more than just the music: Australian pre-service music teacher education students' attitudes to artists-in-schools," International Journal of Music Education, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 243-256, 2013/08/01 2012, doi: 10.1177/0255761411434254.

[34] D. Beer and M. Taylor, "The Hidden Dimensions of the Musical Field and the Potential of the New Social Data," Sociological Research Online, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 11-21, 2013/05/01 2013, doi: 10.5153/sro.2943.

[35] P. Bello and D. Garcia, "Cultural Divergence in popular music: the increasing diversity of music consumption on Spotify across countries," Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 182, 2021/07/27 2021, doi: 10.1057/s41599-021-00855-1.

[36] B. Ferwerda and M. Tkalčič, "Exploring Online Music Listening Behaviors of Musically Sophisticated Users," presented at the Adjunct Publication of the 27th Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization, Larnaca, Cyprus, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3314183.3324974.

[37] T. Lewis, "From K Road to iTunes: Social and cultural changes in New Zealand recorded music communities," Back Story Journal of New Zealand Art, Media & Design History, no. 2, 12/01 2017, doi: 10.24135/backstory.vi2.17.

[38] M. E. Culp and R. Grimsby, "Using an Equity-Centered Framework to Guide Family Engagement: Supporting Children's Lifewide Music-Making through Reciprocal Partnerships," Music Educators Journal, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 52-62, 2024/03/01 2024, doi: 10.1177/00274321241238696.

[39] A. A. Kallio, K. Marsh, H. Westerlund, S. Karlsen, and E. Sæther, "Introduction: The Politics of Diversity in Music Education," in The Politics of Diversity in Music Education, A. A. Kallio, H. Westerlund, S. Karlsen, K. Marsh, and E. Sæther Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021, pp. 1-11.

[40] M. Bremmer and M. Schuijer, "Collaborative composing with inclusive music ensembles: What attitude, knowledge and skills do composers need?," International Journal of Music Education, vol. 0, no. 0, p. 02557614241289442, doi: 10.1177/02557614241289442.

[41] Y. Wang and E.-Á. Horvát, "Gender Differences in the Global Music Industry: Evidence from MusicBrainz and The Echo Nest," Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, vol. 13, no. 01, pp. 517-526, 07/06 2019, doi: 10.1609/icwsm.v13i01.3249.

[42] L. K. Richerme, "Equity via relations of equality: Bridging the classroom-society divide," International Journal of Music Education, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 492-503, 2021, doi: 10.1177/02557614211005899.

[43] M. Youssef, E. L. McKinstry, A. Dunne, A. Bitton, A. G. Brady, and T. Jordan, "Developing Engaging Remote Laboratory Activities for a Nonmajors Chemistry Course During COVID-19," Journal of Chemical Education, vol. 97, no. 9, pp. 3048-3054, 2020/09/08 2020, doi: 10.1021/acs.jchemed.0c00792.

[44] N. Numan, "[DC] Towards Understanding, Alleviating, and Exploiting the Effects of Asymmetry in Collaborative Mixed Reality," in 2023 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces Abstracts and Workshops (VRW), 25-29 March 2023 2023, pp. 991-992, doi: 10.1109/VRW58643.2023.00338.

[45] V. S. Reddy et al., "Designing Beyond Borders: A Study of E-Design and Virtual Collaboration in Modern Innovation," E3S Web Conf., vol. 453, p. 01033, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202345301033.

[46] K. Chow, C. Coyiuto, C. Nguyen, and D. Yoon, "Challenges and Design Considerations for Multimodal Asynchronous Collaboration in VR," Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact., vol. 3, no. CSCW, p. Article 40, 2019, doi: 10.1145/3359142.

[47] A. Mayer, A. Rungeard, J. R. Chardonnet, P. Häfner, and J. Ovtcharova, "Immersive Hand Instructions in AR – Insights for Asynchronous Remote Collaboration on Spatio-Temporal Manual Tasks," in 2023 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Virtual Environments for Measurement Systems and Applications (CIVEMSA), 12-12 June 2023 2023, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/CIVEMSA57781.2023.10231018.

[48] A. Mayer, T. Combe, J.-R. Chardonnet, and J. Ovtcharova, "Asynchronous Manual Work in Mixed Reality Remote Collaboration," in Extended Reality, Cham, L. T. De Paolis, P. Arpaia, and M. Sacco, Eds., 2022// 2022: Springer Nature Switzerland, pp. 17-33.

[49] L. Domingo, M. Gutzeit, L. Leifer, and J. M. K. Auernhammer, "REMOTE BRAINSTORMING: METHODOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS IN DESIGNING FROM A DISTANCE," Proceedings of the Design Society, vol. 1, pp. 2541-2550, 2021, doi: 10.1017/pds.2021.515.

[50] C.-L. Yang, "Understanding and Reducing Perception Gaps with Mediated Social Cues when Building Workplace Relationships through CMC," presented at the Companion Publication of the 2021 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing, Virtual Event, USA, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3462204.3481800.

[51] Y. Wang, P. Wang, Z. Luo, and Y. Yan, "A novel AR remote collaborative platform for sharing 2.5D gestures and gaze," The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, vol. 119, no. 9, pp. 6413-6421, 2022/04/01 2022, doi: 10.1007/s00170-022-08747-7.

[52] S. Kim, M. Billinghurst, and K. Kim, "Multimodal interfaces and communication cues for remote collaboration," Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 313-319, 2020/12/01 2020, doi: 10.1007/s12193-020-00346-8.

[53] B. C. Ünal, "Influencing Factors of Team Effectiveness in Global Virtual Teams," International Journal of Interactive Communication Systems and Technologies (IJICST), vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1-17, 2023, doi: 10.4018/IJICST.320522.

[54] M. Kiljunen, E. Laukka, T. K. Koskela, and O. I. Kanste, "Remote leadership in health care: a scoping review," Leadership in Health Services, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 98-115, 2022, doi: 10.1108/LHS-06-2021-0059.